



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/531,942

04/19/2005

Yi Li

2085-04000

4511

23505

7590

08/06/2008

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.

David A. Rose

P. O. BOX 3267

HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267

EXAMINER

PARK, JEONG S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2154

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/06/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pathou@conleyrose.com

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 10/531,942             | LI, YI              |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | JEONG S. PARK          | 2154                |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>19 April 2005</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-7, 9-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar et al. (hereinafter Kumar)(U.S. Patent No. 7,188,151 B2).

Regarding claims 1 and 10, Kumar teaches as follows:

a data acquisition source management method for managing acquisition sources (the engine manages transmission of the data from the patient-side device to the provider-side device, see, e.g., abstract), the data acquisition source management method comprising the steps of:

generating a source list for containing at least one acquisition source (patient-side devices 102 in figure 1A) by a Real-time Multimedia Data On Demand (RTMDOD) server (interpreted as an engine implemented on a central server 106 in figure 1A)(the patient logs on to a website with a secure logon ID and password thereby creating a session, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 20-43 and figure 2), each of the at least one acquisition source contained in the source list being for provision of data therefrom and being in data communication with the RTMDOD server (the provider can view streaming and/or

saved data relating to the patient by selecting button 504 in figure 5, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56);

providing the source list (patient list) to a data requestor system (provider-side devices 104 in figure 1A)(the provider's patients are listed on a screen 500 in figure 5, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56), the source list being provided by the RTMDOD server in response to the RTMDOD server receiving a list request from the data requestor system, the data requestor system being in data communication with the RTMDOD server (the provider can view streaming and/or saved data relating to the patient by selecting button 504 in figure 5, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56); and

receiving a data request from the data requestor system (provider-side device) by the RTMDOD server (engine), the data request being a request for data from one or more of the at least one acquisition source being registered on the source list and being indicated thereby (the engine manages data transmission from the patient-side device to the provider-side device, see, e.g., col. 7, lines 19-25).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Kumar teaches as follows:

providing a data response from the RTMDOD server to the data requestor system in responses to the data request being received by the RTMDOD server from the data requestor system (the provider can view streaming and/or saved data relating to the patient by selecting button 504 in figure 5, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Kumar teaches as follows:

transmitting registration data (login ID and password) from the at least one acquisition source to the RTMDOD server (creating a session with the system by transmitting a login ID and password, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 20-43);

verifying the registration data from the at least one acquisition source by the RTMDOD server (registration 1002 in figure 10 and individual client 1102 in figure 11, verification is inherently included for session login procedure); and

registering the at least one acquisition source onto the source list and storing the registration data corresponding to the registered at least one acquisition source onto a source database (profile database 1204 in figure 12) in response to the registration data being verified (entered user profile is written in the profile database, see, e.g., col. 9, lines 34-37 and figure 12).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, Kumar teaches as follows:

transmitting log-in data from the data requestor system (provider) to the RTMDOD server (provider can immediately view their patient's real time data by joining the session, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 57-59 and figure 11 for service provider registration);

registering the data requestor system onto a requestor list in response to receiving the log-in data therefrom, the requestor list containing at least one of a plurality of data requestor systems (see, e.g., col. 9, lines 51-59 and figure 15); and

transmitting the source list to each of the plurality of data requestor system registered on the requestor list (the provider can view streaming and/or saved data relating to the patient by selecting button 504 in figure 5, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56).

Regarding claims 5 and 14, Kumar teaches as follows:

transmitting data from the RTMDOD server to the data requestor system, the data being provided by one or more of the at least one acquisition source indicated by and in response to the data request (the engine manages data transmission from the patient-side device to the provider-side device, see, e.g., col. 7, lines 19-25).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Kumar teaches as follows:

the data transmitted from the corresponding at least one acquisition source to the RTMDOD server is subsequently received by the data requestor system in real-time therefrom (the provider can immediately view their patient's real time data by joining the session, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 57-59).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Kumar teaches as follows:

the data received by the RTMDOD server from the corresponding at least one acquisition source being multimedia data (real-time streaming of textual/audio/video data from a patient to a health care provider, see, e.g., col. 2, lines 1-8).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, Kumar teaches as follows:

verifying status of each of the acquisition source registered on the source list, the status of each of the acquisition source being one of active or inactive (Admin module 1010 in figure 19 shows the clients submodule 1902 includes servlets that display disabled and enabled clients and modify the profile of client, see, e.g., col. 11, lines 1-26 and figure 19);

updating the source list by removing the acquisition source having the status of inactive therefrom (the provider is notified that a session is in progress via a flashing "live" button, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56); and

transmitting the updated source list to each of the plurality of data requestor system registered on the requestor list (the provider is notified that a session is in progress via a flashing "live" button, see, e.g., col. 8, lines 47-56).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. (hereinafter Kumar)(U.S. Patent No. 7,188,151 B2).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, Kumar teaches communications between the engine and the provider as presented above. Therefore it would be obvious to providing an error message to the provider when the provider improperly accesses the website provided by the engine.

***Conclusion***

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JEONG S. PARK whose telephone number is (571)270-1597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00 - 3:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2154

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S. P./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2154

August 1, 2008

/Joseph E. Avellino/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2146